July 2008



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2007-2008 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as *Learning Results*, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning TestTM (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. As last year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning TestTM was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. Additionally, Science and Technology testing resumed this year after a two-year hiatus. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Because the MHSA Science & Technology achievement level standards had not been revised in 2006 like all other disciplines, it was necessary to revise the standards for that discipline this spring. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards for the 2008 Critical Reading, Writing and Mathematics sections of the MHSA were not changed.

These 2007-2008 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science & technology reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for most students may also be used for college admission, scores for students who received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board are not college reportable.

The state results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science & Technology test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science & technology questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron
Commissioner of Education

Maine High School Assessment

SAU Report

Test Date: May 2008

ID: 1070

SAU: Greenville School Department

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Topic	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11

Maine High School Assessment

Year

SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2008


SAU: Greenville School Department


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores


Average Scaled Score

real	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008		1137 1151	1141 1141
Mathematics 2006–2007 2007–2008		1140 1143	1140 1141
Writing 2006–2007 2007–2008		1140 1146	1141 1140
Science 2007–2008		1144	1141











SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2008

		En	rol	lme	ent¹								C	NC	ΓΕΝ	VΤ	AR	EΑ	PA	\R1	ΓIC	IPA	TIC	N	2					
CATEGORY OF	d	uring	test	ing v	windo	w		С	ritical	Read	ing				Mathe	ematio	s				Wr	iting					Sci	ence		
PARTICIPATION	Sch	ool	S	AU	S	tate	Sc	hool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	s	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	s	AU	S	tate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students			14	100	1560	1 100			14	100	14875	96			14	100	15165	97			14	100	14869	96			14	100	14961	1 96
Ethnicity African American/Black			0	0	305	2			0	0	261	86			0	0	286	95			0	0	260	86			0	0	280	93
American Indian or Native Alaskan			0	0	103	1			0	0	95	93			0	0	97	95			0	0	95	93			0	0	93	91
Asian or Pacific Islander			0	0	215	1			0	0	194	90			0	0	202	94			0	0	194	90			0	0	200	93
Hispanic			0	0	140	1			0	0	118	84			0	0	123	88			0	0	118	84			0	0	120	86
Caucasian/White			14	100	1484	95			14	100	14207	96			14	100	14457	98			14	100	14202	96			14	100	14268	8 96
Not Reported			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
Identified disability			3	21	2247	14			3	100	2065	93			3	100	2138	96			3	100	2060	92			3	100	2081	93
Current LEP			0	0	648	4			0	0	508	79			0	0	564	87			0	0	507	78			0	0	534	83
Economically disadvantaged			1	7	4028	26			1	100	3682	92			1	100	3831	95			1	100	3679	92			1	100	3755	94
Migrant			0	0	5	0			0	0	5	100			0	0	5	100			0	0	5	100			0	0	5	100

MODE OF		Critical	Read	ing				Mathe	matic	s				Wri	ting					Scie	ence		
	School	5	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	s	AU	St	ate	Sch	nool	SA	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	St	ate
PARTICIPATION ³	N %	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Participation without accommodations		12	86	13042	84			12	86	13332	85			12	86	13042	84			12	86	13192	85
Identified disability (PET/IEP)		1	8	739	6			1	8	810	6			1	8	739	6			1	8	791	6
LEP		0	0	399	3			0	0	456	3			0	0	399	3			0	0	436	3
504 plan		0	0	196	2			0	0	204	2			0	0	196	2			0	0	201	2
Participation with accommodations		2	14	1623	10			2	14	1624	10			2	14	1625	10			2	14	1567	10
Identified disability (PET/IEP)		2	100	1117	69			2	100	1119	69			2	100	1119	69			2	100	1088	69
LEP		0	0	93	6			0	0	93	6			0	0	93	6			0	0	83	5
504 plan		0	0	58	4			0	0	58	4			0	0	58	4			0	0	55	4
Other		0	0	367	23			0	0	366	23			0	0	367	23			0	0	353	23
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)		0	0	209	1			0	0	209	1			0	0	202	1			0	0	202	1
Identified disability (PET/IEP)		0	0	209	100			0	0	209	100			0	0	202	100			0	0	202	100
LEP		0	0	15	7			0	0	15	7			0	0	15	7			0	0	15	7
504 plan		0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP		0	0	1	0																		
Approved non-participation – special consideration		0	0	36	0			0	0	40	0			0	0	36	0			0	0	38	0
Non-participation – other		0	0	693	4			0	0	399	3			0	0	699	4			0	0	605	4

¹ Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.

Maine High School Assessment

CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling idea		Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ite
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*			0 0 5 5	0 0 36 9	1079 1168 1184 3431	7 8 8 8
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*			11 5 4 20	52 25 29 36	5697 5714 5885 17296	38 38 40 39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*			7 11 4 22	33 55 29 40	4772 4728 4093 13593	32 31 28 30
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*			3 4 1 8	14 20 7 15	3595 3444 3417 10456	24 23 23 23



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Greenville School Department

					Scł	nool							SA	AU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students											14	36	29	29	7	1151	14579	8	40	28	23	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						248	4	21	27	48	1132
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0						94	5	27	28	40	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander											0						192	4	35	30	31	1138
Hispanic											0						115	5	32	26	37	1136
Caucasian/White											14	36	29	29	7	1151	13930	8	41	28	23	1141
Not Reported											0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes											3						1823	1	9	24	65	1126
No											11	36	27	27	9	1151	12756	9	45	29	17	1143
Current LEP																						
Yes											0						488	3	22	24	52	1132
No											14	36	29	29	7	1151	14091	8	41	28	22	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											1						3545	3	28	30	39	1134
No											13	38	31	23	8	1152	11034	10	44	27	19	1143
Migrant																						
Yes											0						5	20	0	40	40	1136
No											14	36	29	29	7	1151	14574	8	40	28	23	1141
Gender																						
Female											7	29	14	43	14	1147	7237	8	42	30	19	1142
Male											7	43	43	14	0	1155	7342	8	38	26	28	1140
Not Reported											0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0						103	0	9	30	61	1127
No											14	36	29	29	7	1151	14476	8	41	28	23	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes											0						295	48	48	4	0	1161
No											14	36	29	29	7	1151	14284	7	40	29	24	1140
1																						

 $\mathbf{N} = \text{Number}$

Maine High School Assessment

MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: Greenville School Department

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's <i>Lean</i>	•	STU	JDENTS A	T EACH A	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	EL*
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling ide	ntified	Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate
standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a confine of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" see		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2006-2007 2007-2008			0 0	0 0	578 637	4 4
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2006-2007 2007-2008			8 9	40 64	5481 5508	36 37
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140)	2006-2007 2007-2008			7 2	35 14	4754 5065	31 34
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)	2006-2007 2007-2008			5 3	25 21	4607 3660	30 25



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students											14	0	64	14	21	1143	14870	4	37	34	25	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						274	1	12	31	57	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0			İ			96	2	24	30	44	1136
Asian or Pacific Islander											0						200	8	37	34	22	1142
Hispanic											0						120	3	23	32	43	1138
Caucasian/White											14	0	64	14	21	1143	14180	4	38	34	24	1141
Not Reported											0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes											3						1896	0	8	22	70	1130
No											11	0	73	9	18	1144	12974	5	41	36	18	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes											0						545	3	16	28	53	1135
No											14	0	64	14	21	1143	14325	4	38	34	24	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											1			-			3695	1	22	37	40	1136
No											13	0	69	15	15	1144	11175	5	42	33	19	1142
Migrant																						
Yes											0						5	20	20	40	20	1144
No											14	0	64	14	21	1143	14865	4	37	34	25	1141
Gender																						
Female											7	0	57	14	29	1141	7362	3	36	36	24	1140
Male											7	0	71	14	14	1146	7508	5	38	32	25	1141
Not Reported											0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0						103	0	8	41	51	1134
No											14	0	64	14	21	1143	14767	4	37	34	24	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes											0						296	35	59	5	0	1158
No											14	0	64	14	21	1143	14574	4	37	35	25	1140
1																						
			1		1		1						!	!	!				!	!	1	

WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: Greenville School Department

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling ider	ntified	Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combir multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*			2 1 2 5	10 5 14 9	952 937 962 2851	6 6 7 6
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*			13 7 6 26	62 35 43 47	6055 6167 5564 17786	40 41 38 40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*			2 8 4 14	10 40 29 25	4916 4723 4679 14318	32 31 32 32
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 2007-2008 Cum. Total*			4 4 2 10	19 20 14 18	3221 3227 3376 9824	21 21 23 22



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

				_	Scł	nool		_		_			S	ΑU					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students											14	14	43	29	14	1146	14581	7	38	32	23	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						248	2	19	30	49	1131
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0						94	3	19	38	39	1133
Asian or Pacific Islander											0						192	6	30	34	30	1137
Hispanic											0						115	2	30	36	33	1136
Caucasian/White											14	14	43	29	14	1146	13932	7	39	32	22	1140
Not Reported											0						0					
Identified disability																	1005		_	-00		4405
Yes											3	40	45	07		4447	1825	1 -	7	23	69	1125
No											11	18	45	27	9	1147	12756	7	43	33	17	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes											0						488	3	19	29	49	1131
No											14	14	43	29	14	1146	14093	7	39	32	22	1140
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											1						3546	2	25	35	38	1134
No			İ								13	15	46	31	8	1148	11035	8	42	31	18	1142
110											10	13	1	"		1140	11000	0	72	01	10	1142
Migrant																						
Yes											0						5	20	0	20	60	1131
No											14	14	43	29	14	1146	14576	7	38	32	23	1140
Gender																						
Female											7	29	14	29	29	1145	7239	8	43	33	17	1142
Male											7	0	71	29	0	1147	7342	6	34	31	30	1138
Not Reported											0		1				0					
•																						
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0						103	0	7	39	54	1128
No											14	14	43	29	14	1146	14478	7	38	32	23	1140
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes			İ								0						295	42	53	4	0	1159
No											14	14	43	29	14	1146	14286	6	38	33	24	1139
											'	''							50	50		

SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

School

SAU: Greenville School Department

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL*

SAU

29

4988

Each content standard in the

clusters shown is defined

in Maine's 1997 Learning

Results, which are the basis

for science and technology

Grade Span Expectations.

expectation, which can be

found at http://www.maine.

gov/education/lsalt/gles.

Each item on the MHSA

measures a grade span

34

State

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's *Learning Results*.

Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting.

multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting.	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems using the processes of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1161-1180)	8		0	0	300	2
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems using the processes of scientific inquiry and explain central concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1141-1160)	8		8	57	5927	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems using scientific inquiry but the quality of responses is inconsistent. Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1135-1140)	8		2	14	3544	24
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts						

		nber	Avera	ge Point	s Attaine	d (Numbe	er and Pe	rcent)
Learning Results Content Standard Clusters		oints sible	Sch	ool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cluster 1: Life Sciences	15	27			7.64	50.9	6.41	42.7
Cluster 2: Physical Sciences	14	25			6.57	46.9	6.22	44.4
Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences	14	25			6.57	46.9	5.04	36.0
Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science	13	23			7.21	55.5	6.59	50.7

in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate

minimal ability to solve problems and use the skills of scientific inquiry. There are many inaccuracies and

explanations are illogical, incomplete, or missing. (scaled score 1100-1134)

Cluster 1: Life Sciences

2007-2008

A. Classifying Life Forms

B. Ecology

C. Cells

Cluster 2: Physical Sciences

E. Structure of Matter

H. Energy

I. Motion

Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences

D. Continuity and Change

F. The Earth

G. The Universe

Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science

J. Inquiry and Problem Solving

K. Scientific Reasoning

L. Communication

M. Implications of Science & Technology

^{*}Because science testing at the high school level resumed in 2008 after a two-year hiatus and new achievement level standards were set for the MHSA science test, historical data are not available.



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

Greenville School Department SAU:

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School										SAU						State					
	Tested	E		М		P		D		Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N %	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students											14	0	57	14	29	1144	14759	2	40	24	34	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						269	0	20	14	65	1134
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0						92	1	24	28	47	1138
Asian or Pacific Islander											0						199	3	36	25	36	1140
Hispanic											0						118	1	26	19	54	1136
Caucasian/White											14	0	57	14	29	1144	14081	2	41	24	33	1141
Not Reported											0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes											3						1879	0	11	17	72	1133
No											11	0	55	18	27	1143	12880	2	44	25	28	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes											0						519	1	18	19	62	1134
No											14	0	57	14	29	1144	14240	2	41	24	33	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											1						3651	1	26	24	49	1137
No											13	0	62	15	23	1145	11108	3	45	24	29	1142
Migrant																						
Yes											0						5	20	40	40	0	1146
No											14	0	57	14	29	1144	14754	2	40	24	34	1141
Gender																						
Female											7	0	43	0	57	1140	7277	1	37	26	36	1140
Male											7	0	71	29	0	1147	7482	3	43	22	32	1141
Not Reported											0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0						100	1	5	22	72	1133
No											14	0	57	14	29	1144	14659	2	40	24	34	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes											0						296	13	80	5	3	1152
No											14	0	57	14	29	1144	14463	2	39	24	34	1140
			1		!				!					1	<u> </u>							